

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
Nobuo Kimura	31981-160441	2129	
	EXAM	INER	
Venable		JOHNSON, EDWARD M	
Post Office Box 34385 washington, DC 20043-9998	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	1754		
		Nobuo Kimura 31981-160441 EXAM JOHNSON, E ART UNIT	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/530,196	KIMURA ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
*	Edward M. Johnson	1754		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 10 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application application abandonment of the contraction of the con	ation. A proper reply to a n places the application in		
-	EPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date by The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Official filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the main	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension out of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF				
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:			
(a)				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);				
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.				
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s): See Continuation Sheet.			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> .				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 21 and 22.				
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b)□ disapproved by t	he Examiner.		
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	<u> </u>		
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		STEVEN BOS PRIMARY EXAMINER		
		GROUP 1100		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03)

Application No. 009/530,196

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claims 23-36 have been amended to claim a method rather than a product, which would be a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search. Further, claim 18 contains the phrase "film according to 1", which, due to indefiniteness, would also be a new issue, requiring further consideration.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): Applicant's perfected priority overcomes the rejection under §102(a).

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is argued that Applicants respectfully travers the rejection... under §102(b). This is not persuasive for reasons already of record. Kimura discloses a laminated photocatalyst on a metallic structure having the specific claimed features (see Final Rejection). It is argued that Applicants respectfully traverse... WO97/00314 unde 35 U.S.C. § 102(b). This is not persuasive because the cited reference was published at least a year prior to Applicants U.S. filing date, which is Nov. 5, 1998. The 102(b) rejection is proper because §102(b) specifies Applicants filing date "in the United States" (see §102(b), text), not Applicants foreign filing priority date.

Continuation of 10. Other: The IDS has not been considered because both the fee and the statement specified under 37 CFR 1.97(e) are required after a Final Rejection has been mailed.